

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,693	04/13/2006	James Edward Cooper	02157.0028U1	3562
NEEDLE & RO	10/575,693 04/13/2006 James Edward Cooper	EXAMINER NGUYEN, HOANG M		
			NOUTEN, HOANG W	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Community	10/575,693	COOPER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoang M. Nguyen	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te. cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	• .				
, <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	Ex parto quaylo, 1000 0.5.	11, 400 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	*			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1 <a> Certified copies of the priority document</a>	its have been received.	i.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price					
application from the International Burea		osolitod iii diilo itadonal olage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived .			
	to the continue copies not				
	•				
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-13-06</u> .	6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20071111			

Art Unit: 3748

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 14-19 recites a computer program. Please note computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,693

Art Unit: 3748

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 4601172 (Stotts) in view of U.S. 3660583 (Takanasi et al). Stotts discloses a control system for a Stirling engine 10 comprising a power factor logic circuit 86 programmed to provide a power output signal, the signal is dependent from a predefined pressure (tank pressure or engine pressure), the logic circuit 89 senses the actual pressure to calculate the power factor output signal (column 6, lines 18-47). Stotts does not disclose the comparing device for comparing the actual signal with the predefined signal. Takanasi et al is relied upon to disclose a control system to calculate the power factor of a gas engine comprising a comparison device 3 for comparing a sensed signal of a power factor detector 6 with a power factor presetter 5 to come up with an output signal. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the control system in Stotts to have the comparing device as taught by Takanasi et al for the purpose of improving the efficiency of the control system in response to the actual condition.

Claims 2-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 4601172 (Stotts) in view of U.S. 3660583 (Takanasi et al) and US 4891569 (Light). Stotts as modified by Takanasi et al discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose an alarm when the actual power factor is lower than a predetermined value. Light is relied upon to disclose in figure 2 it's well known to set an alarm when the power factor is out of range. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/575,693

Art Unit: 3748

Page 4

provide an alarm in Stotts as taught by Light for the purpose of preventing engine break down by the alarm. Regarding claims 5-6, 11, it would have been obvious to use electrical grid, engine at start-up, and different type of alarms in Stotts for the purpose of improving the efficiency of the system.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hatanaka et al, and Lemirande disclose control systems for the power factor of gas engines.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOANG NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 11/11/2007